

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/159,833	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UTSUMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jenise E Jackson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

1/2

- (1) Jenise E Jackson. (3) Gail Hayes.  
(2) Grace Law. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 29 January 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Ms. Grace Law & Examiner discussed claim 1, & the Attorney discussed invention. As understood by the examiner, the invention involves use of two passwords: a <sup>user built</sup> ~~general~~ input pw and a default PW (the latter being stored on a storage medium). To access the storage medium, the default PW must equal the input PW, otherwise access is denied. With this system, additional users may have access to the storage medium without the authorized user compromising her PW. →

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/159,833	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UTSUMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jenise E Jackson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

2/2

(1) Jenise E Jackson.

(3) Gail Hayes.

(2) Grace Law.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The examiner requests a formal response with ~~the~~ support for this description included. Also the examiner suggests amending the claim to make clear that the claimed "storage apparatus" does not include the computer based system with which it is associated.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Gail Hayes/Jenise Jackson  
Examiner's signature, if required